

# Best Management Practices for Colorado Corn

Primary Authors: Troy Bauder  
Department of Soil and Crop Sciences  
Colorado State University

Reagan Waskom  
Department of Soil and Crop Sciences  
Colorado State University

Contributing Authors: Joel Schneekloth  
Colorado State University Cooperative Extension

Jerry Alldredge  
Colorado State University Cooperative Extension

Technical Writing and Support: Marjorie Nockels Ortiz  
Layout: Debbie Fields

Graphic Design: Nancy Reick, Kendall Printing

Funded by the Colorado Corn Growers Association/Colorado Corn Administrative Committee through a grant by the Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment through a Section 319 Nonpoint Source Education Grant. Additional funding and support provided by the Agricultural Chemicals and Ground Water Protection Program at the Colorado Department of Agriculture.

Special acknowledgments to  
the following reviewers:

Bruce Bosley, Colorado State University Cooperative Extension  
Bill Brown, Colorado State University  
Grant Cardon, Colorado State University  
Wayne Cooley, Colorado State University Cooperative Extension  
Bill Curran, Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.  
Ron Meyer, Colorado State University Cooperative Extension  
Frank Peairs, Colorado State University  
Calvin Pearson, Colorado State University  
Gary Peterson, Colorado State University  
Dwayne Westfall, Colorado State University  
Phil Westra, Colorado State University

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Milan A. Rewerts, Director of Cooperative Extension, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. Cooperative Extension programs are available to all without discrimination. To simplify terminology, trade names of products and equipment are occasionally used. No endorsement of products mentioned is intended nor is criticism implied of products not mentioned.

Published by Colorado State University Cooperative Extension in Cooperation with the Colorado Corn Growers Association/  
Colorado Corn Administrative Committee.  
Colorado State University Cooperative Extension Bulletin XCM574A. February 2003.

# Tillage



*Cultivation*

Photo H.F. Schwartz

## The choice of tillage systems depends on a wide variety of factors:

- Soil erodibility - soil texture, slope, organic matter levels
- Irrigation system
- Equipment available
- Rotation with other crops

## Choice of tillage system will impact:

- Soil organic matter levels
- Soil compaction
- Pest control options



*Moldboard plowing*

Photo H. Schwartz



*Conservation tillage under furrow irrigation*

Photo C. Pearson

See Guidelines for Using Conservation Tillage Under Furrow Irrigation, Agriculture Experiment Station Technical Report TR02-6.

Tillage in corn production aids in controlling weeds, managing residue, manipulating the physical condition of soil and creating ridges or beds to aid furrow irrigation. A variety of tillage systems can accomplish these goals, but the erosion potential among them varies highly.

Corn grows well under a variety of tillage systems. Because of its relatively large seed size and seedling vigor, corn does not require a finely prepared, clean seed bed. Good seed to soil contact and proper seed depth are more important and can be accomplished by properly adjusted press wheels or seed covering devices. Over-preparation of the seed bed causes more stand problems through excessive soil drying, increased crusting and greater potential for soil compaction.

## Tillage Systems

### Moldboard or conventional (clean) tillage

Moldboard plow or disk twice in fall or spring, followed by disk and/or mulch, plant and cultivate.

#### *Advantages:*

- Suited for most soils
- Well-tilled seedbed

#### *Disadvantages:*

- High erosion potential
- High compaction potential
- High fuel and labor costs
- High soil moisture loss
- No remaining residue cover

### Reduced or conservation tillage

Any tillage system that leaves at least 30% residue cover on soil surface prior to planting.

#### *Advantages:*

- Less erosion potential than moldboard or other clean till systems
- Chisel plow adapted to poorly drained soils
- Lower fuel costs than moldboard plow
- Saves soil moisture

#### *Disadvantages:*

- Stalk chopping necessary for chiseling
- Potential for compaction with disking under wet conditions



*25% Corn residue cover*



*50% Corn residue cover*

Generally, a minimum of 30% residue cover is needed for erosion control and 50% cover is required to significantly reduce evaporation loss.

## No-till or Strip-till

No-till is similar to conservation tillage where the majority of crop residue is left undisturbed on the surface for maximum water conservation under dryland conditions. Strip-till differs from no-till in that narrow strips are cleared of crop residue to increase soil warming and drying either before or during the planting operation. Corn is planted in the tilled strips.

### Advantages:

- Conserve moisture
- Greatly reduced erosion
- Increase organic matter
- Lower overall fuel costs (especially no-till)
- Less overall equipment

### Disadvantages:

- Special equipment needed
- Greater reliance on herbicides
- Requires a larger horsepower tractor (strip-till)

## Ridge Tillage

This system is suited best to poorly drained soils and heavier soils that tend to dry out slowly. The crop is planted from year to year on top of ridges which are formed during cultivation. Typical operations include chopping stalks, planting on ridges, cultivate to rebuild ridges.

### Advantages:

- Reduce wind and water erosion, by leaving most residue on surface
- Saves water
- Lower fuel costs
- Minimizes soil compaction
- Maintains or improves yields
- Well adapted to furrow irrigation in heavy soils

### Disadvantages:

- Light soils may crust
- Not well suited to all rotations (alfalfa, root crops or small grains)
- Must have equal wheel spacing on all equipment, including harvesting, and narrower tires

Table 27. Reduced tillage after alfalfa can be more profitable than moldboard plowing. Five years of study at the Arkansas Valley Research Center in Rocky Ford has shown that reduced tillage is more profitable than moldboard plowing, especially during drought or years of low winter moisture. Plowing removes moisture by exposing the subsoil to evaporation while the alfalfa stubble can catch and preserve moisture. Instead of plowing, leave alfalfa in the field until it begins to green up and treat with a contact herbicide a few days before disking, bedding, and planting. Another successful strategy is to disk the alfalfa stand after green-up, bed, plant and then spray. Production costs on the reduced tillage corn were found to be \$17/A lower than moldboard plowed corn while yields were maintained or, in some years, improved.

	Grain yield bu/A	Gross return \$/A	"Prod." cost \$/A	Adjusted return \$/A
Moldboard plow*	194.3	\$501.20	\$209.30	\$292.00
Reduced tillage**	197.9	\$510.00	\$192.30	\$317.80
difference	+ 3.6 bu	+\$8.80	-\$17.00	+\$25.80

\* Moldboard: plow, mulch, disk (twice), float and bed.

\*\*Reduced tillage: disk (twice), float and bed. Source: Jim Valliant



Planting corn in high residue

Photo C. Pearson



Ridge tillage

Photo J. Schneekloth

Producers should evaluate their entire crop rotation scheme before changing tillage systems. The ideal tillage practices will maintain or boost corn productivity, minimize input costs and protect soil and water resources for later generations of corn producers.

### BMP

Cover Crops may be planted after harvest or crop failure to decrease erosion and use excess nutrients applied to the field.

Filter Strips may be planted on the down gradient side of the field to decrease the potential to transport phosphorus off-site.